IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA OMAHA DIVISION

JOSH HICKS, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated

PLAINTIFF

VS.

No. 8:20-cv-510

HAWKINS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

DEFENDANT

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT—COLLECTIVE ACTION

COMES NOW Plaintiff Josh Hicks ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through his attorney Josh Sanford of Sanford Law Firm, PLLC, and for his Original Complaint—Collective Action ("Complaint") against Defendant Hawkins Construction Company ("Defendant"), he states and alleges as follows:

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS

- 1. This is a collective action brought by Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, against Defendant for violations of the overtime provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq.* (the "FLSA").
- 2. Plaintiff seeks a declaratory judgment, monetary damages, liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, and a reasonable attorney's fee and costs as a result of Defendant's failure to pay proper overtime compensation under the FLSA.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. The United States District Court for the District of Nebraska has subject matter jurisdiction over this suit under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this suit raises federal questions under the FLSA.

Page 1 of 10
Josh Hicks, et al. v. Hawkins Construction Company
U.S.D.C. (D. Neb.) No. 8:20-cv-510
Original Complaint—Collective Action

4. Defendant does business in this District and the acts complained of herein were committed and had their principal effect against Plaintiff within this District.

Therefore, venue is proper within this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

III. THE PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is an individual and resident of Douglas County.
- 6. Defendant is a domestic, for-profit corporation.
- 7. Defendant's registered agent for service of process is Kim M. Hawkins at 2516 Deer Park Boulevard, Omaha, Nebraska 68105.
 - 8. Defendant maintains a website at https://www.hawkins1.com/.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 9. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.
- 10. Defendant acted as the employer of Plaintiff and the proposed collective and is and has been engaged in interstate commerce as that term is defined under the FLSA.
- 11. Defendant employs two or more individuals who engage in interstate commerce or business transactions, or who produce goods to be transported or sold in interstate commerce, or who handle, sell, or otherwise work with goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for interstate commerce, such as construction materials and equipment.
- 12. Defendant's annual gross volume of sales made or business done is not less than \$500,000.00 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level that are separately stated) in each of the three years preceding the filing of the Original Complaint.

13. Defendant owns and operates a construction company.

14. At all times material herein, Plaintiff has been entitled to the rights,

protections, and benefits provided under the FLSA.

15. Defendant employed Plaintiff as an hourly-paid employee from May of 2015

until October of 2020.

16. Defendant also employed other hourly-paid employees to perform the work

necessary to its construction business (hereinafter referred to as "hourly employees")

17. In addition to his hourly wage, Plaintiff received bonuses when a job that he

worked on was completed according to certain standards with regard to safety,

performance, and lack of damage to equipment ("incentive bonuses").

18. The incentive bonuses are nondiscretionary and are based on employee

performance.

19. Upon information and belief, all or most hourly employees who contribute

to Defendant's construction jobs receive incentive bonuses.

20. Plaintiff also received semiannual bonuses based on his performance and

how long he had been with the company ("semiannual bonuses").

21. The semiannual bonuses are nondiscretionary and are based on employee

performance.

22. Upon information and belief, all or most employees receive semiannual

bonuses.

23. Defendant informs its hourly employees of the semiannual bonuses upon

hiring because the bonuses are part of Defendant's compensation package and hourly

employees expect to receive the bonuses.

Page 3 of 10
Josh Hicks, et al. v. Hawkins Construction Company
U.S.D.C. (D. Neb.) No. 8:20-cv-510
Original Complaint—Collective Action

8:20-cv-00510-BCB-MDN Doc # 1 Filed: 12/15/20 Page 4 of 10 - Page ID # 4

24. Defendant informed Plaintiff of the semiannual bonuses upon hiring and

Plaintiff expected to, and did in fact, receive semiannual bonuses.

25. Defendant directly hired Plaintiff and other hourly employees, controlled

their work schedules, duties, protocols, applications, assignments and employment

conditions, and kept at least some records regarding their employment.

26. Plaintiff and other hourly employees regularly worked in excess of forty

hours per week throughout their tenure with Defendant.

27. Defendant paid Plaintiff and other hourly employees 1.5x times their base

hourly rate for the hours they worked over 40 in a workweek.

28. However, Defendant did not include the bonuses that were paid to Plaintiff

and other hourly employees in their regular rates when calculating their overtime pay even

though Plaintiff and other hourly employees received bonuses in pay periods in which

they also worked in excess of forty hours per week.

29. Section 778.208 of Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations requires that

all forms of compensation, such as non-discretionary bonuses, "must be totaled in with

other earnings to determine the regular rate on which overtime pay must be based."

30. Defendant violated the FLSA by not including all forms of compensation,

such the non-discretionary bonuses of Plaintiff and other hourly employees, in their

regular rate when calculating their overtime pay.

31. Upon information and belief, Defendant's pay practices were the same for

all hourly workers who received bonuses.

32. Because of the volume of work required to perform their jobs, Plaintiff and

other hourly employees consistently worked in excess of forty hours per week.

33. Defendant knew, or showed reckless disregard for whether, the way it paid Plaintiff and other hourly employees violated the FLSA.

V. REPRESENTATIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 34. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully incorporated in this section.
- 35. Plaintiff brings this claim for relief for violation of the FLSA as a collective action pursuant to Section 16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of all persons similarly situated as hourly employees who were, are, or will be employed by Defendants within the applicable statute of limitations period, who are entitled to payment of the following types of damages:
 - A. Overtime premiums for all hours worked over forty hours in any week;
 - B. Liquidated damages; and
 - C. Attorney's fees and costs.
 - 36. Plaintiff proposes the following class under the FLSA:

All hourly employees who received a bonus in connection with work performed in at least one week in which they worked over forty hours within the past three years.

- 37. In conformity with the requirements of FLSA Section 16(b), Plaintiff has filed or will soon file a written Consent to Join this lawsuit.
- 38. The relevant time period dates back three years from the date on which Plaintiff's Original Complaint—Collective Action was filed herein and continues forward through the date of judgment pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 255(a), except as set forth herein below.

39. The members of the proposed FLSA class are similarly situated in that they share these traits:

A. They were paid hourly;

B. They were eligible for and received nondiscretionary bonuses:

C. They worked over forty hours in at least one week in which they performed work related to a bonus; and

D. They were subject to Defendant's common policy of failing to pay a proper overtime rate for hours worked over forty in a week.

40. Plaintiff is unable to state the exact number of the class but believes that the class exceeds 200 persons.

41. Defendant can readily identify the members of the collective, who are a certain portion of the current and former employees of Defendant.

42. The names and physical and mailing addresses of the probable FLSA collective action plaintiffs are available from Defendant.

43. The email addresses of many of the probable FLSA collective action plaintiffs are available from Defendant.

VI. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Individual Claim for Violation of the FLSA)

44. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

45. Plaintiff asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq.

46. At all relevant times, Defendant has been, and continues to be, an enterprise engaged in commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203.

8:20-cv-00510-BCB-MDN Doc # 1 Filed: 12/15/20 Page 7 of 10 - Page ID # 7

47. 29 U.S.C. §§ 206 and 207 require any enterprise engaged in commerce to

pay all employees a minimum wage for all hours worked up to 40 each week and to pay

1.5x their regular wages for all hours worked over 40 in a week, unless an employee

meets certain exemption requirements of 29 U.S.C. § 213 and all accompanying

Department of Labor regulations.

48. Defendant classified Plaintiff as non-exempt from the requirements of the

FLSA.

49. Despite Plaintiff's entitlement to overtime payments under the FLSA,

Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff 1.5x his regular rate for all hours worked in excess of 40

per week.

50. Defendant knew or should have known that its actions violated the FLSA.

51. Defendant's conduct and practices, as described above, were willful.

52. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff

for monetary damages, liquidated damages and costs, including reasonable attorney's

fees provided by the FLSA for all violations which occurred beginning at least three years

preceding the filing of Plaintiff's initial complaint, plus periods of equitable tolling.

53. Defendant has not acted in good faith nor with reasonable grounds to

believe its actions and omissions were not a violation of the FLSA, and, as a result thereof,

Plaintiff is entitled to recover an award of liquidated damages in an amount equal to the

amount of unpaid overtime premium pay described above pursuant to Section 16(b) of

the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

54. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in failing to pay Plaintiff as provided by the FLSA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

VII. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Collective Action Claim for Violation of the FLSA)

- 55. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 56. Plaintiff asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief on behalf of all similarly situated employees pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq.
- 57. At all relevant times, Defendant has been, and continues to be, an enterprise engaged in commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203.
- 58. 29 U.S.C. §§ 206 and 207 require any enterprise engaged in commerce to pay all employees a minimum wage for all hours worked up to 40 each week and to pay 1.5x their regular wages for all hours worked over 40 in a week, unless an employee meets certain exemption requirements of 29 U.S.C. § 213 and all accompanying Department of Labor regulations.
- 59. Defendant classified Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees as nonexempt from the overtime provisions of the FLSA.
- 60. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and similarly situated employees 1.5x their regular rate for all hours worked in excess of 40 per week.
 - 61. Defendant knew or should have known that its actions violated the FLSA.
 - 62. Defendant's conduct and practices, as described above, were willful.
- 63. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees for monetary damages, liquidated damages and

costs, including reasonable attorney's fees provided by the FLSA for all violations which

occurred beginning at least three years preceding the filing of Plaintiff's initial complaint,

plus periods of equitable tolling.

64. Defendant has not acted in good faith nor with reasonable grounds to

believe its actions and omissions were not a violation of the FLSA, and, as a result thereof,

Plaintiff and similarly situated employees are entitled to recover an award of liquidated

damages in an amount equal to the amount of unpaid overtime premium pay described

above pursuant to Section 16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

65. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in

failing to pay Plaintiff and the collective members as provided by the FLSA, they are

entitled to an award of prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

VIII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, premises considered, Plaintiff Josh Hicks, individually and on

behalf of all others similarly situated, respectfully prays that Defendant be summoned to

appear and to answer this Complaint and for declaratory relief and damages as follows:

A. Declaratory judgment that Defendant's practices alleged in this Complaint

violate the FLSA and its related regulations;

B. Certification of a collective under Section 216 of the FLSA of all individuals

similarly situated, as further defined in any motion for the same;

C. Judgment for damages suffered by Plaintiff and others similarly situated for

all unpaid overtime wages under the FLSA and its related regulations;

D. Judgment for liquidated damages owed to Plaintiff and all others similarly

situated pursuant to the FLSA and its related regulations;

Page 9 of 10
Josh Hicks, et al. v. Hawkins Construction Company
U.S.D.C. (D. Neb.) No. 8:20-cv-510
Original Complaint—Collective Action

- E. An order directing Defendant to pay Plaintiff and all others similarly situated interest, a reasonable attorney's fee and all costs connected with this action; and
 - F. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

JOSH HICKS, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, PLAINTIFF

SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC 10800 Financial Centre Parkway, Suite 510 Little Rock, Arkansas 72211 Telephone: (501) 221-0088

Josh Sanford

Ark. Bar No. 2001037 josh@sanfordlawfirm.com

Facsimile: (888) 787-2040